

## Case 1



## Case 2



## 2.1


In case of additional buckling stiffener is applied at floor. Which method should be applied?

And other buckling panels at the same stiffened panel SP-M2 with stiffener number of 6 is acceptable?

If opening is not modelled and net thickness is recuded based on Table B.2.2 in App2.

How to do buckling assessment with this buckling panel?

Case 3



 $\underline{\text{Notes}}$  SP – M1 denotes Stiffened Panel – buckling strength assessed using Method 1.

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{UP}}$  –  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{M2}}$  denotes Un-stiffened Panel – buckling strength assessed using Method 2

3.1

In case of additional buckling at Bulkhead. Which method should be applied?

SP-M1 with secondary stiffener, UP-M2 or UP-M1?

3.2

If stiffener is installed as shown, which method should be applied?

SP-M1, UP-M2 or UP-M1?