

**No. IACS Model Report for IMO Resolution  
102 MSC.215(82) Annex 1 “Test Procedures for  
(June Coating Qualification”, Section 1.7 - Crossover  
2008) Test**

# EXAMPLE COATING PRODUCER

BALLAST TANK COATING TEST OF 2 \* 160  $\mu$ M  
EXAMPLE EPOXY PAINT ON EXAMPLE SHOP  
PRIMER

## Table of contents

1	Summary .....	2
2	Scope of work.....	2
3	Work carried out prior to exposure .....	3
3.1	Identification .....	3
3.2	Surface preparation .....	3
3.3	Application .....	3
3.3.1	Application procedure .....	3
3.3.2	Coding .....	3
3.4	Dry film thickness .....	3
3.5	Pin hole detection .....	3
4	Exposure.....	3
5	Tests carried out after exposure .....	3
6	Test results.....	3
7	Conclusion.....	4
8	References .....	4
9	Appendix A - Environmental data - weathering of shop primed panels.....	5
10	Appendix B - Details of surface preparation, application and test results .....	6
11	Appendix C – Photo documentation .....	12
12	Appendix D - Infrared Scanning Charts.....	13

## 1 SUMMARY

The coating system, 2 \* 160 µm Example Epoxy Paint from Example Coating Producer, applied to Example zinc silicate shop primed panels has been tested in accordance with the IMO Performance Standard for protective Coatings /1/, section 1.7 of appendix 1 to annex 1 without wave movement (crossover test). The coating was applied after 2 months weathering of the shop primer.

The results from the testing show that the Example Epoxy Paint from Example Coating Producer has passed all the requirements given in the Performance Standard for Protective Coatings /1/.

## 2 SCOPE OF WORK

The following work and tests have been performed:

- Identification of the coating system
- Film thickness measurements and pin hole detection on panels before testing
- 180 days testing in wave tank conditions, but without wave movement
- Evaluation of results after testing, including blister detection, disbonding from artificial holiday and adhesion

### **3 WORK CARRIED OUT PRIOR TO EXPOSURE**

#### **3.1 Identification**

The coating system was identified by infrared scanning (by means of a ....(name and model of the instrument)),and by determination of specific gravity (according to ISO 2811 -1) by means of an Pyknometer (name and model of the instrument).

#### **3.2 Surface preparation**

Surface preparation was carried out according to the data given in Table B-1 Appendix B.

#### **3.3 Application**

##### **3.3.1 Application procedure**

Example zinc silicate shop primer was applied to the blast cleaned panels according to the data given in Table 2. The shop primed panel were then exposed out-door for 2 months. The environmental data for the exposure period is given in Appendix A.

Two coats (specified dry film thickness 160 µm per coat) of Example Epoxy Paint were applied to the weathered and cleaned zinc silicate shop primed panel. The application data are given in Table B-2 Appendix B.

##### **3.3.2 Coding**

The panel were coded as shown in Figure B-1 in Appendix B.

#### **3.4 Dry film thickness**

The dry film thickness measurements were carried out by means of a (name and model of the instrument) dry film thickness unit before testing. Templates, as given in Figure B-2 in Appendix B, were used for the measurements. The results from the measurements are given in Table B-3 in Appendix B.

#### **3.5 Pin hole detection**

Pin hole detection was performed on the coated test panel before testing. The detection was carried out by means of a (name and model of the instrument) Pinhole detector at 90 volts.

### **4 EXPOSURE**

The testing was carried out according to the IMO Performance Standard for Protective Coatings /1/. The exposure was started 02.11.07 and terminated 14.06.08.

### **5 TESTS CARRIED OUT AFTER EXPOSURE**

Evaluation of blisters and rust, adhesion, undercutting from scribe and flexibility was carried out according to specifications and standards referred to in the IMO Performance Standard /1/.

### **6 TEST RESULTS**

The results of the product identification are given in Table 1.

The results of the examination of the coated test panels are schematically given in Table 2 and more detailed in Appendix B. Pictures of the panels after exposure are enclosed as Appendix C.

**Table 1 Results of analyses (Product identification)**

Product	Batch no.	IR identification (main components)	Specific gravity (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
Example, part A	123	Ethyl silicate	0.93
Example, part B	234	NA*	2.21
Example Epoxy Paint Grey, base	345	Epoxy	1.48
Example Epoxy Paint hardener	456	Amide	0.96
Example Epoxy Paint Buff, base	567	Epoxy	1.47

\* Identified and spectres stored. No generic correlation to the spectres in the data base found.

**Table 2 Results of examination of the coated test samples**

Test parameter	Acceptance criteria	Test results	Passed / failed
Pin holes (no)	No pinholes	0	Passed
Blisters and rust <sup>1)</sup>	No blisters or rust	0	Passed
Adhesion values (MPa) <sup>2)</sup>	>3.5 adhesive failure >3.0 cohesive failure	Average: 5.2 Maximum: 6.1 Minimum: 4.2 70 – 80 % cohesive failure 20 – 30 % adhesive failure	Passed
Cathodic disbondment (mm) <sup>3)</sup>	< 8	7.2	Passed
Current demand (mA/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>3)</sup>	< 5	3.3	Passed

<sup>1)</sup> Details of blister and rust Table B-4 Appendix B.

<sup>2)</sup> Details of Pull-off adhesion test in Table B-5 Appendix B.

<sup>3)</sup> Details of Cathodic Protection in Table B-6 Appendix B.



## 7 CONCLUSION

The results from the testing show that Example Epoxy Paint from Example Coating Producer has passed all the requirements for the crossover test given in the Performance Standard for Protective Coatings /1/.

## 8 REFERENCES

/1/ MSC 215 (82) :2006 Performance Standard for Protective Coatings for dedicated sea water ballast tanks in all types of ships and double-side skin spaces of bulk carriers

## 9 APPENDIX A - ENVIRONMENTAL DATA - WEATHERING OF SHOP PRIMED PANELS



## 10 APPENDIX B - DETAILS OF SURFACE PREPARATION, APPLICATION AND TEST RESULTS

**Table B-1 Surface preparation data.**

<b>Surface preparation date</b>	November 2007 The prepared panels were stored at ambient in- door conditions until use
<b>Surface preparation method</b>	Blast cleaning
<b>Blasting standard</b>	Sa 2 ½
<b>Abrasive used</b>	AlSi1 A3+ steel shot
<b>Roughness (µm)</b>	R <sub>max</sub> 50 -75
<b>Water soluble salts</b>	32, 38 and 40 mg / m <sup>2</sup> Spot check performed on 3 out of 30 panels produced at the same time
<b>Dust and abrasive inclusions</b>	No dust or abrasive inclusions observed by visual examination.
<b>Treatment of shopprimer after weathering</b>	Low pressure washing
<b>Water soluble salts after treatment of shopprimer</b>	Spot check 28, 41 and 38 mg / m <sup>2</sup>

**Table B-2 Application data.**

Coating data:	Shop primer	1 <sup>st</sup> coat	2 <sup>nd</sup> coat
Paint system:	Example red	Example Epoxy Paint Al Grey	Example Epoxy Paint Buff
Manufacturer:	Example Coating Producer		
Date	20.11.07	22.01.08	23.01.08
Time	10:00	10:00	10:00
Batch No. curing agent			
Batch No. base			
Thinner name (if used)			
Batch No. thinner(if used)			
Equipment used	Graco King 68:1	Graco King 68:1	Graco King 68:1
Air pressure (bar)	100	170	170
Size nozzle (inches)	0.021	0.021	0.021
Fan width (°)	60	60	60
Mix. ratio (volume)	A: B = 3:1	3:1	3:1
Volume solid (volume)	30 ± 2	80	80
Wet film thickness (μ)	55-70	275	275
Dry film thickness (μ)	15-25	See Table 3	See Table 4
Thinner (%)	0	0	0
Air temperature (°C)	25	25	25
Humidity (% RH)	78	80	82
Steel temp. (°C)	25	25	25
Dew point (°C)	20	20	20
Present at application of shop primer: nn – MM Group (painter) and mm – laboratory. Present at application of test coating: kk - Example Coating Producer, nn – MM Group, and mm – laboratory.			
<b>Comments:</b>			




Figure B-1 Coding.




Figure B-2 Thickness measurement locations.



**Table B-3 Total Dry Film Thickness – Example Epoxy Paint (20 µm subtracted for shop primed substrate).**

Measurement	Panel no
	EX1ZW2
1	330
2	356
3	320
4	344
5	356
6	320
7	326
8	348
9	320
10	319
11	360
12	320
13	344
14	424
15	348
Max	424
Min	319
<b>Average</b>	<b>342</b>
StDev	27

**Table B-4 Development of blisters and rust after exposure.**

Code	Description	Blister size	Blister density	Rust	Other defects
EX1ZW2	Bottom wave tank panel with anode	0	0	0	0

**Table B-5 Results of the Pull-off adhesion test, wave tank and heat exposed panels.**

<b>Panel no.</b>	<b>Adhesion strength (MPa)</b>	<b>Fracture</b>
Bottom wave tank panel with anode W2	5.3	30 % B, 20 % C, 20 % C/D, 30 % D
	4.2	30 % B, 20 % C, 30 % C/D, 20 % D
	6.1	20 % B, 30 % C, 30 % C/D, 20 % D
Average	5.2	70 – 80 % Cohesive failure, 20 – 30 % Adhesive
Max	6.1	
Min	4.2	

A/B Fracture between the steel surface and 1<sup>st</sup> coat (shop primer).

B Fracture in the 1<sup>st</sup> coat.

B/C Fracture between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> coat.

C Fracture in the 2<sup>nd</sup> coat.

C/D Fracture between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> coat.

D Fracture in the 3<sup>rd</sup> coat

-/Y Fracture between the outer coat and the glue.

**Table B-8 Results of Cathodic Protection (CP).**

Panel	Cathodic disbondment (mm)	Blisters / rust	Zinc anode weight loss (g)	Current demand (mA/ m <sup>2</sup> )
EX1ZW2	7.2	0	1.2345	3.32

*Exposure time:* 120 days (Total time 180 days. Each cycle consists of 2 weeks seawater immersion and 1 week exposure in air)

*Utilisation factor:* 0.8

*Consumption rate for Zn-anodes:* 11.3 kg/A year

Cathodic protection; disbonding from artificial holiday:

“On completion of the test, thoroughly rinse the panel with tap water, taking care not to damage the coating.” (From ISO 15711:2003)

“Assess loss of adhesion at the artificial holiday by using a sharp knife to make two cuts through the coating to the substrates, intersection at the holiday. With the point of the knife, attempt to lift and peel back the coating from around the holiday. Record whether the adhesion of the coating to the substrate has been reduced and the approximate distance, in millimetres, that the coating can be peeled.” (From ISO 15711:2003)

Additionally IACS interpretation of IMO PSPC: Repeat the cutting and lifting all around the artificial holiday to find the maximum loss of adhesion. Disbonding from artificial holiday can be either loss of adhesion to the steel substrate or between the shop primer and the epoxy coating and shall be less than 8 mm for epoxy based systems to be acceptable (compatibility test). Cohesive adhesion failure in the shop primer is not to be included as part of the loss of adhesion.

11 APPENDIX C – PHOTO DOCUMENTATION

(It should be overview picture of the panel and close up picture of the disbonding from artificial holiday)



Figure C-1 Disbonding from artificial holiday, bottom wave tank panel (example picture not connected to example results in this model report).



Figure C-2 Disbonding from artificial holiday, bottom wave tank panel (example picture not connected to example results in this model report).

## 12 APPENDIX D - INFRARED SCANNING CHARTS

**Figure D-1**

**Figure D-2**

**Figure D-3**

**Figure D-4**

**Figure D-5**

**Figure D-6**

End of  
Document